



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,154	07/11/2000	Martin J. Edwards	PHB 34,365	1602

24737 7590 11/29/2005

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

SHAPIRO, LEONID

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2677

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/614,154	Applicant(s) EDWARDS, MARTIN J.	
	Examiner Leonid Shapiro	Art Unit 2673	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 13 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation of independent claim 9: "...wherein a first address conductor of a **first** group of address conductors and a second signal processing circuit associated with a last address conductor of a **second** group of address conductors are adjacent on said substrate" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The limitation of independent claim 9: "... wherein a first address conductor of a **first** group of address conductors and a second signal processing circuit associated with a last address conductor of a **second** group of address conductors are adjacent on said substrate" is not described in the disclosure, since there is no drawing of the claimed invention with more than one group of addresses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how limitation of claim 9 "... wherein a first address conductor of a **first** group of address conductors and a second signal processing circuit associated with a last address conductor of a **second** group of address conductors are adjacent on said substrate" could be implemented, since Figures 4-5 disclose signals processing circuits 1 and 9 adjacent to each other and at the one border of the same group. It is

not clear, how the main objective of the invention could be achieved, since in claim 9 those processing circuits still far apart on the substrate opposite to what is stated in the disclosure (See page 4, Lines 16-21).

Notice, that Figs. 4-5 disclose only one address conductor group.

Claims 10-12 are dependent on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. US Patent No. 6, 166, 715) in view of Ohwada et al. (US Patent No. 5,021,774).

As to claim 9, as best understood by examiner, Chang et al. teaches an active matrix array device (See Col. 1, Lines 6-11) comprising:

a substrate (See Fig. 2, items 200-260, Col. 2, lines 20-29);

an array of individually addressable matrix elements carried on substrate (See Fig. 2, item 200);

a set of address conductors connected to array of matrix elements and carried on substrate (See Fig. 4, items PIX 1- PIX 40), set of address conductors being arranged in a series of groups with each group including successive address conductors (See Fig. 4, items PIX 1- PIX 640, Col. 5, Lines 18-49);

and an addressing circuit including

a multiplexing circuit (See Fig. 4, items EN1-EN16) integrated on substrate and connected to set of conductors, multiplexing circuit having a plurality of signal bus lines , multiplexing circuit being arrange to couple sequentially each group of set of address conductors to plurality of signal lines with each address conductor in a group being coupled to a respective one of signal bus lines (See Fig. 4, items PIX 1- PIX 640, Col. 5, Lines 18-49),

and a plurality of signal processing circuits (See Fig. 3, Items 245 (1)- 245 (40)) integrated on substrate, each signal processing circuit connected to a respective bus line (See Fig. 3, items Y1-Y40, from Col. 3, Line 26 to col. 4, Line 3).

Matsueda et al. does not show a first signal processing circuit associated with a first address conductor of a first group of address conductor and a second signal processing circuit associated with a last address conductor of a second group of address conductors are adjacent on substrate.

Ohwada et al. teaches a first signal processing circuit (See Fig. 7, items 11, $\Phi 2$) associated with a first address conductor of a first group of address conductor (See Fig. 7, item 11) and a second signal processing circuit associated with a last address

conductor of a second group of address conductors (See Fig. 7, items 11, $\Phi 1$) are adjacent on substrate (See Col. 9, Lines 53-63 and Col. 6, Lines 53-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teaching Ohwada et al. into the Chang et al. system in order to provide a high-speed scanning method and circuit (See Col. 3, Lines 11-14 in the Ohwada et al. reference).

As to claim 10, Chang et al. teaches signal processing circuits are arranged in series in a line parallel to multiplexing circuit (See Fig. 2-4, items 240, 260, Col. 3, Lines 26-47 and Col. 5, Lines 17-47).

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. and Ohwada et al. as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Matsueda et al. (US Patent 6, 384, 806).

Chang et al. and Ohwada et al. do not show an active matrix array device with order in which processing circuit blocks are arranged physically on the device substrate is different to the physical order of the signal bus lines to which they are respectively connected.

Matsueda et al. teaches an active matrix array device with order in which processing circuit blocks are arranged physically on the device substrate is different to the physical order of the signal bus lines to which they are respectively connected (See Fig. 17, items 200A, 200B, in description See Col.20, Lines 29-45). Notice that D/A converters on both sides of LCD panel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teaching of Matsueda et al. into Chang et al. and Ohwada et al. system in order to simplify circuit arrangement (See Col. 1, Lines 59-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 13 is allowed.

6. Relative to claim 13 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Matsueda et al. and Ohwada et al.) and the instant invention is that a plurality of signal processing circuits integrated on said substrate, each signal processing circuits being connected to a respective bus line, wherein an order in which said signal processing circuits are arranged physically on substrate is at least partially different than a physical order of said signal bus lines to which said signal processing circuit blocks are respectively connected.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 08.17.05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

On page 8, last paragraph Applicant's stated that first group of address conductors C10-C18 and a second group of address conductors are adjacent on substrate as shown in Figs. 4-5. However, there is no second group of the address conductors in Figs. 4-5. There is only one group of the address conductors. Further, Applicant's stated that this should be compared with to the prior art shown in Fig. 3.

However, Fig. 3, does not disclose two or more groups of the address conductors.

On page 9, 2nd paragraph Applicant's stated the specification clearly provided an antecedent basis for each limitation of claim 9. However, since Figs. 4-5 do not disclose multiple address conductors groups how the second group components could be described?

On page 9, last paragraph Applicant's stated, that the physical order of signal processing circuits being different than prior art physical order. However, this is exact repletion of claim 13, which is already allowed and does not address limitation of claim9, related to multiple (more than one) address conductors groups, as was pointed above.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LS
11.11.05

AMR A. AWAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

